

EXHIBIT B

Redline

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

In re:	§ § § § § §	Chapter 11
CORE SCIENTIFIC, INC., <i>et al.</i>, ¹		Case No. 22-90341 (CML)
		(Jointly Administered)

**ORDER ESTABLISHING MAXIMUM DISPUTED
CLAIM AMOUNTS FOR CALCULATION AND DISTRIBUTION PURPOSES
UNDER DEBTORS' PROPOSED JOINT CHAPTER 11 PLAN OF REORGANIZATION**

Upon the emergency motion (the “**Motion**”)² filed by the above-referenced debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the “**Debtors**”) for entry of an order (the “**Order**”) approving the Maximum Disputed Amount of CUD Claims for Calculation Purposes and distribution pursuant to sections 105, 1123(a)(5) and 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, as set forth in the Motion; and the Court having jurisdiction over the matters raised in the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334; and the Court having found that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(B) and that the Court may enter a final order consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution; and the Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Motion in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and the Court having found that the relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtors and their respective estates, creditors, and other parties in interest; and the Court having found that

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor’s federal tax identification number, are as follows: Core Scientific Mining LLC (6971); Core Scientific, Inc. (3837); Core Scientific Acquired Mining LLC (6074); Core Scientific Operating Company (5526); Radar Relay, Inc. (0496); Core Scientific Specialty Mining (Oklahoma) LLC (4327); American Property Acquisition, LLC (0825); Starboard Capital LLC (6677); RADAR LLC (5106); American Property Acquisitions I, LLC (9717); and American Property Acquisitions VII LLC (3198). The Debtors’ corporate headquarters is 210 Barton Springs Road, Suite 300, Austin, Texas 78704. The Debtors’ service address is 2407 S. Congress Ave, Suite E-101, Austin, TX 78704.

² Capitalized terms used herein but not otherwise defined shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Motion

proper and adequate notice of the Motion and hearing thereon has been given and that no other or further notice is necessary; and the Court having found that good and sufficient cause exists for the granting of the relief requested in the Motion after having given due deliberation upon the Motion and all of the proceedings had before the Court in connection with the Motion,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Maximum Disputed Amount for each CUD Claim is hereby established for each CUD Claimant as provided on the “Maximum Disputed Amount” column on Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, Exhibit 3, and Exhibit 4 hereto, at an aggregate maximum Allowed amount of \$~~114.3 million~~37,748,145 (the “**Maximum Disputed Amount**”) for Calculation Purposes and distribution.

~~2. To the extent any Settling GUC Claim is not Allowed on or prior to the Effective Date, such Settling GUC Claim shall be established, as provided in the applicable amount set forth on the “Adjusted Settling GUC Amount” column on Exhibit 4 attached hereto for each such Settling GUC Claimant.~~

2. ~~3.~~ The CUD Claims remain “Disputed” as defined in the Plan and shall remain so unless and until they are “Disallowed” or become “Allowed” as defined in the Plan.

3. ~~4.~~ Nothing in this Order shall prejudice the Debtors’ right to contest any CUD Claim.

4. ~~5.~~ The Debtors are authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted pursuant to this Order in accordance with the Motion.

5. ~~6.~~ Notwithstanding the relief granted herein and any actions taken hereunder, nothing contained in the Motion or this Order shall constitute, nor is it intended to constitute an implication or admission as to the validity or priority of any claim or lien against

the Debtors, a waiver of the Debtors', or any party in interest's, rights to subsequently dispute such claim or lien, a promise or requirement to pay any prepetition claim, an implication or admission that any particular claim is of a type specified or defined in the Motion or any proposed order, a waiver of the Debtors', or any other party in interest's, rights under the Bankruptcy Code or any other applicable law, or the assumption or adoption of any agreement, contract, or lease under section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code.

6. ~~7.~~ Notice of this Motion as provided therein shall be deemed good and sufficient notice and the applicable requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and the Local Rules are satisfied by such notice

7. ~~8.~~ The Court retains jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, or enforcement of this Order.

| Dated: _____, 202~~3~~4
Houston, Texas

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

Privileged & Confidential
Attorney Work Product**Exhibit 1 - Litigation Claims**In re Core Scientific, Inc., et al.
Case No. 22-90341 (CML), Jointly Administered

COUNT	NAME ⁴	CLAIM NUMBER	TOTAL FILED CLAIM AMOUNT		POST-PETITION INTEREST ¹		MAXIMUM DISPUTED AMOUNT	
1.	Sphere 3D Corp.	358	\$39,541,996	\$2,568,431	\$2,568,431	\$42,110,427	\$42,110,427	\$42,110,427
2.	Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company	34	\$8,026,733	\$521,372	\$521,372	\$8,548,105	\$8,548,105	\$8,548,105
3.	Harlin Dean	364	\$8,000,000	\$508,584	\$519,636	\$8,508,584	\$8,519,636	\$8,519,636
	Total		\$8,000,000	\$55,568,729	\$508,584	\$3,609,439	\$8,508,584	\$59,178,168

¹ Includes Post-Petition Interest at the Federal Judgement Rate of 4.64% 4.74% through 4/30/2024

Privileged & Confidential
Attorney Work Product**Exhibit 2 - Rejection Damages Claims**In re Core Scientific, Inc., et al.
Case No. 22-90341 (CML), Jointly Administered

COUNT	NAME ⁴	CLAIM NUMBER	TOTAL FILED CLAIM AMOUNT	POST-PETITION INTEREST ¹	MAXIMUM DISPUTED AMOUNT
1.	GEM Mining 1, LLC	647	\$5,897,312	\$298,992	\$6,196,304
2.	GEM Mining 4, LLC	648	\$2,163,763	\$109,702	\$2,273,465
3.	Convergent Technologies LLC	479	\$477,851	\$23,286	\$501,136
4.	Tenaska Power Services Co	552	\$200,000	\$9,746	\$209,746
5.	Proctor Management	02424107	\$0	\$439	\$9,439
6.	Gryphon Digital Mining, Inc. ²	02424106	\$0	\$0	
7.	Another Crypto	N/A	\$0	\$0	
8.	Avnet, Inc.	N/A	\$0	\$0	
9.	CrossCountry Consulting LLC	N/A	\$0	\$0	
10.	Egencia LLC ²	N/A	\$0	\$0	
11.	EMO North Customers Brokers Ltd	N/A	\$0	\$0	
12.	Ernst & Young LLP	N/A	\$0	\$0	
	Total		\$8,061,074	\$408,694	\$8,469,769

¹ Includes Post-Petition Interest at the Federal Judgement Rate of 4.64% 4.74% through 1/23/2024 12/31/2023² The Debtors submit that the 2018 Travel Services Agreement, by and between Core Scientific, Inc. and Egencia LLC., was terminated and replaced by the postpetition 2023 agreement and thus the 2018 agreement is not an Executory Contract subject to assumption or rejection pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code. However, to the extent the Court determines that the 2018 agreement is an Executory Contract, the Debtors intend to reject it and thus have included it in the Schedule of Rejected Contracts out of an abundance of caution.

Privileged & Confidential
Attorney Work Product

Exhibit 3 - 510(b) Claims

In re Core Scientific, Inc., et al.
Case No. 22-90341 (CML), Jointly Administered

COUNT	NAME ⁴	CLAIM NUMBER	TOTAL FILED CLAIM AMOUNT	MAXIMUM CLAIM AMOUNT (AS OF PETITION DATE)	POST-PETITION INTEREST ¹	MAXIMUM DISPUTED AMOUNT
1.	Morgan Hoffman	632	\$188,600,000	\$188,600,000	\$129,909	\$2,129,909
2.	Mitchell Edwards ²	334	\$22,000,000	\$4,444,422	\$282,546	\$4,726,968
3.	Charles Basil ²	336	\$3,500,330	\$707,067	\$44,950	\$752,017
4.	FLORIDA SBA TTEE	384	\$395,715	\$395,715	\$25,157	\$420,871
5.	Christopher Elliott Scott	320	\$359,512	\$359,512	\$22,855	\$382,368
6.	Bay Colony Law Center LLC	241	\$151,474	\$151,474	\$9,630	\$161,104
7.	Ani Kamali	229	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$9,536	\$159,536
8.	Francois Emmanuel Veilleux	555	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$4,768	\$79,768
9.	Robert Joseph	351	\$39,390	\$39,390	\$2,504	\$41,894
10.	Marvin W. Meyer	249	\$22,118	\$22,118	\$1,406	\$23,524
11.	Jason Walters (Jason Walters IRA)	311	\$21,193	\$21,193	\$1,347	\$22,540
12.	Ann A. Meyer	248	\$16,044	\$16,044	\$1,020	\$17,064
13.	Ann A. Meyer	247	\$15,241	\$15,241	\$969	\$16,210
14.	Marvin W. Meyer	250	\$12,848	\$12,848	\$817	\$13,665
15.	Michael & Elizabeth Silbergeld TRS FBO Silverknight Group Inc 401k Roth Plan FBO Michael Silbergeld	81	\$7,963	\$7,963	\$506	\$8,470
16.	Chad Dickman	349	\$4,045	\$4,045	\$257	\$4,302
17.	Thrasivoulos Dimitriou	52	\$3,104	\$3,104	\$197	\$3,301
18.	Alexandra Seifert	431	\$2,482	\$2,482	\$158	\$2,640
19.	Carrington Lobban	54	\$1,093	\$1,093	\$69	\$1,162
20.	Cori Faerman	211	\$38	\$38	\$5	\$43
21.	Cori Faerman	212	\$81	\$81	\$2	\$83
22.	Michael & Elizabeth Silbergeld TRS FBO Silverknight Group Inc 401k Plan FBO Michael Silbergeld	82	\$27	\$27	\$2	\$29
Total			\$26,777,698	\$6,428,856	\$408,702	\$6,837,559

¹ Includes Post-Petition Interest at the Federal Judgement Rate of 4.64% 4-74% through 4/30/2024² The Debtors intend to object this claim and believe such claim should be \$0.00. The amounts reserved for this claim represent the Debtors' estimate of the maximum amount of such claim if the Debtors' are unsuccessful in their objection.

Privileged & Confidential
Attorney Work Product

Exhibit 4 - Other Disputed Claims

In re Core Scientific, Inc., et al.
Case No. 22-90341 (CML), Jointly Administered

COUNT	NAME*	CLAIM NUMBER	TOTAL FILED CLAIM AMOUNT		POST-PETITION INTEREST¹	MAXIMUM DISPUTED AMOUNT		ADJUSTED SETTLING GUG AMOUNT²	ADJUSTED MAXIMUM DISPUTED AMOUNT
1.	Foundry Digital LLC [DCG Foundry LLC]¹	360		\$18,404,990	\$1,195,487	\$5,500,000	\$19,600,477	\$19,600,477	
2.	MP2 Energy Texas, LLC d/b/a Shell Energy	272		\$10,140,424	\$658,666	\$10,799,090	\$10,799,090	\$10,799,090	
3.	Dalton Utilities	398		\$9,092,340	\$590,588	\$9,682,928		\$9,682,928	
4.	Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company⁵	34		\$8,026,733	\$510,284	\$8,537,017			
5.	Harco National Insurance Company	415		\$8,000,000	\$519,636	\$8,519,636			\$8,519,636
6.	Maddox Industrial Transformer, LLC¹	295		\$7,472,404	\$485,366	\$0	\$7,957,770	\$7,957,770	
7.	Humphrey & Associates, Inc.¹	510		\$7,036,021	\$457,021	\$0	\$7,493,042	\$7,493,042	
8.	Kentucky Department of Revenue³	176		\$4,021,412	\$62,154	\$1,039,840	\$1,041,190		\$1,041,190
9.	GEM Mining 2, LLC	570		\$2,862,275	\$181,964	\$3,044,238	\$3,048,192		\$3,048,192
10.	Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts on-	86		\$1,924,607		\$0			\$0
11.	XPD Sponsor, LLC²	391		\$1,055,476	\$68,558	\$1,124,034			\$1,124,034
12.	Jonathan Barrett¹	448		\$934,111	\$60,675	\$425,000	\$994,786		\$994,786
13.	GEM Mining 1, LLC	617		\$560,254	\$35,617	\$595,871	\$596,644		\$596,644
14.	GEM Mining 4, LLC	572		\$403,278	\$25,638	\$428,916	\$429,473		\$429,473
15.	Harco National Insurance Company	413		\$300,000	\$19,486	\$319,486			\$319,486
16.	GEM Mining 2 B, LLC	571		\$269,236	\$17,116	\$286,352	\$286,724		\$286,724
17.	Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts on-	641		\$182,817	\$11,875	\$194,691			\$194,691
18.	Keystone Strategy LLC	330		\$171,488	\$11,139		\$182,627		\$182,627
19.	Herc Rentals, Inc.	340		\$127,512	\$8,282		\$135,795		\$135,795
20.	State of Nevada Department of Taxation³	36		\$127,450	\$2,356		\$38,620		\$38,620
21.	M. Arthur Gensler Jr. & Associates, Inc.-	486		\$104,110	\$6,762		\$110,873		\$110,873
22.	Internal Revenue Service¹	628		\$25,560	\$1,471		\$24,120		\$24,120
23.	City of Calvert City¹	271		\$11,199	\$0		\$0		\$0
24.	Franchise Tax Board¹	125		\$3,408	\$105		\$1,725		\$1,725
25.	Travis County	9		\$2,138	\$139		\$2,277		\$2,277
26.	King County Treasury	602		\$423	\$27		\$451		\$451
27.	Ward County	644		\$71	\$5		\$76		\$76
28.	Foundry Digital LLC [DCG Foundry LLC]²	393		\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0
29.	Foundry Digital LLC [DCG Foundry LLC]²	394		\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0
30.	Michael Trzupek¹	365		\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0
31.	Michael Bros²	511		\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0
32.	Todd DuChene²	509		\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0
33.	Denise Sterling²	368		\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0
34.	Darin Feinstein²	376		\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0
35.	Neal Goldman²	512		\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0
36.	Michael Levitt²	369		\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0
37.	Russell Gann²	515		\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0
38.	Arch Insurance Company²	484		\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0
39.	Jarvis Hollingsworth²	507		\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0
40.	Matthew Minnis¹	395		\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0
41.	Kneeland Youngblood¹	406		\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0
42.	Bitmain Development Pte. Ltd.²	278		\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0
43.	Bitmain Technologies Georgia Limited¹	280		\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0
44.	Bitmain Technologies Limited²	281		\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0
Total				\$16,143,187	\$73,233,003	\$832,773	\$4,427,142	\$13,932,233	\$42,463,654
									\$72,584,728

¹ Includes Post-Petition Interest at the Federal Judgement Rate of 4.64% 4.74% through 4/30/2024 12/31/2023² The Debtors submit that the 2018 Travel Services Agreement, by and between Core Scientific, Inc. and Egencia LLC., was terminated and replaced by the postpetition 2023 agreement and thus the 2018 agreement is not an Executory Contract subject to assumption or rejection pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code. However, to the extent the Court determines that the 2018 agreement is an Executory Contract, the Debtors intend to reject it and thus have included it in the Schedule of Rejected Contracts out of an abundance of caution.² Unliquidated Claim³ Priority Tax Claim. To the extent such Claim is allowed, such Claim will be paid in cash and not New Common Interests. Asserted Priority portions of claimed amount have been removed.⁴ Certain current and former members of the Debtors' management and board of directors filed contingent and unliquidated indemnification claims against the Debtors at Proof of Claim Nos. 365, 368, 376, 369, 395, 406, 507, 509, 511, 512, and 515. The Maximum Disputed Amount for such claims will be established at \$0.⁵ The Company expects this claim to be settled for an amount less than the amount indicated under the column "Maximum Disputed Amount" and intends to file a motion seeking Court approval of such settlement. If such claim settlement is approved by the Court, such claimholder will receive its distribution on account of its claim on or about the Effective Date, pursuant to the Plan and the terms of such settlement. To the extent such settlement is not approved by the Court, the Debtors shall reserve for issuance, or be deemed to have issued, shares for such claim in an amount equal to the Maximum Disputed Amount.

Exhibit 5 - Allowed General Unsecured Claims²Privileged & Confidential
Attorney Work ProductIn re Core Scientific, Inc., et al.
Case No. 22-90341 (CML), Jointly Administered

COUNT	NAME ⁴	CLAIM NUMBER	TOTAL FILED CLAIM AMOUNT	POST-PETITION INTEREST ¹	TOTAL FILED CLAIM AMOUNT PLUS POST-PETITION INTEREST ¹
1	BRF Finance Co., LLC	328	N/A	N/A	\$39,203,161 ⁴
2	MP2 Energy Texas, LLC d/b/a Shell Energy Solutions	372	N/A	N/A	\$12,321,507 ⁴
3	Sphere 3D Corp. ⁵	358	N/A	N/A	\$10,000,000
4	B. Riley Commercial Capital, LLC	327	N/A	N/A	\$9,800,790 ⁴
5	Foundry Digital LLC (DCG Foundry LLC)	360, 361	N/A	N/A	\$5,500,000 ⁴
6	Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC	27	N/A	N/A	\$4,340,116 ⁴
7	Cooley LLP	40	N/A	N/A	\$3,113,470 ⁴
8	LML Services, LLC dba FlowTx	29	N/A	N/A	\$1,318,934 ⁴
9	XPDI Sponsor, LLC	391	\$1,055,476	\$53,512	\$1,108,989
10	Moss Adams LLP	28	\$669,689	\$33,953	\$703,642
11	Convergint Technologies LLC	479	\$460,000	\$24,227	\$502,077
12	Jonathan Barrett	448	\$425,000	\$21,547	\$446,547
13	AAF International	493	\$266,468	\$13,510	\$279,978
14	Novo Construction, Inc.	209	\$259,981	\$13,181	\$273,162
15	ComNet Communications LLC	139	\$247,937	\$12,570	\$260,508
16	Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP	244	N/A	N/A	\$300,507 ⁴
17	Trinity Mining Group, Inc.	411	N/A	N/A	\$261,513 ⁴
18	CRG Financial LLC (As Assignee of KLDiscovey Ontrack LLC)	333	\$193,517	\$9,430	\$202,947
19	Consilio LLC	228	N/A	N/A	\$199,615 ⁴
20	M. Arthur Gensler Jr. & Associates, Inc. a.k.a. Gensler	486	\$104,110	\$35,989	\$140,099
21	Marnoy Interests, Ltd d/b/a OP	419	\$97,274	\$4,932	\$102,206
22	Bergstrom Electric	02424068	\$89,929	\$4,559	\$94,489
23	Onyx Contractors Operations, LP	134	\$82,945	\$4,205	\$87,150
24	Delcom, Inc.	422	\$81,630	\$4,139	\$85,769
25	McDermott Will & Emery LLP	66	\$54,834	\$2,780	\$57,614
26	Hutchison & Steffen, PLLC	309	\$48,772	\$2,473	\$51,245
27	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	599	\$35,580	\$1,804	\$37,384
28	Fishman Stewart PLLC	266	\$35,200	\$1,785	\$36,985
29	Reed-Weiss-Benson-and-Company	02424024	\$34,400	\$1,676	\$36,076
30	Eaton Corporation	332	\$32,680	\$1,657	\$34,337
31	Carey Olsen Cayman Limited [CO Services Cayman Limited]	58	\$32,638	\$1,655	\$34,292
32	Lattice	02424025	\$16,053	\$814	\$16,866
33	TY Properties	02424119	\$15,610	\$791	\$16,402
34	Averitt Express	16	\$15,057	\$763	\$15,820
35	Truckload Connections	15	\$14,873	\$754	\$15,627
36	Gravity Oilfield Services LLC	32	\$13,440	\$681	\$14,122
37	GreatAmerica Financial Services Corporation [GreatAmerica Leasing Corporation]	14	\$12,668	\$642	\$13,310
38	Lake Effect Traffic LLC	02424080	\$12,090	\$613	\$12,703
39	Apex Logistics International Inc.	02424030	\$12,010	\$609	\$12,619
40	Felker Construction Company Inc	264	\$11,933	\$605	\$12,538
41	ORGDEV Limited	95	\$10,000	\$507	\$10,507
42	CRG Financial LLC (As Assignee of Ricks Rental Equipment)	485	\$9,847	\$499	\$10,346
43	Ricks Rental Equipment	37	\$9,847	\$480	\$10,327
44	Uline	2	\$9,282	\$471	\$9,753
45	Proctor Management ⁶	02424107	\$9,000	\$456	\$9,456
46	BitAlpha, Inc. [Bitwave]	631	\$8,750	\$444	\$9,194
47	Shermco Industries, Inc.	02424046	\$8,154	\$413	\$8,568
48	American Security and Protection Service LLC	02424092	\$8,071	\$409	\$8,480
49	EvoTek	02424037	\$7,969	\$404	\$8,373
50	Greyligne Partners, LLC [IQ-EQ]	25	\$6,000	\$304	\$6,304
51	Dallas County	26	\$5,021	\$255	\$5,275
52	Donnelley Financial Solutions	02424043	\$4,894	\$248	\$5,142
53	American Paper & Twine Co	33	\$4,779	\$242	\$5,022
54	Amazon Capital Services, Inc.	441	\$4,621	\$234	\$4,855
55	M & S Patterson, Inc.	10	\$4,610	\$234	\$4,844
56	Regulatory DataCorp, Inc.	217	\$4,323	\$219	\$4,542
57	Cloudflare Inc	02424058	\$3,552	\$173	\$3,725
58	A to Z Pest Control & Services	38	\$3,530	\$179	\$3,709
59	Calvert City Municipal Water and Sewer	272	\$3,529	\$179	\$3,708
60	Bitwave	02424105	\$3,500	\$177	\$3,677
61	Data Sales Co Inc	02424042	\$3,064	\$155	\$3,219
62	Logix Fiber Networks	20	\$2,984	\$151	\$3,135
63	Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation	02424085	\$2,437	\$124	\$2,561
64	Lone Star Corporation	24	\$2,158	\$109	\$2,267
65	Tangent Energy Solutions Inc	02424067	\$2,000	\$101	\$2,101
66	Microsoft Corporation	339	\$1,699	\$86	\$1,785
67	Grubhub Holdings Inc	02424097	\$1,591	\$81	\$1,671
68	Grand Forks Utility Billing	02424051	\$1,530	\$78	\$1,608
69	Northern States Power Minnesota dba Xcel Energy	6	\$1,177	\$60	\$1,237
70	AT&T	02424135	\$1,139	\$58	\$1,197
71	Optilink	02424095	\$1,058	\$54	\$1,112
72	FedEx	02424127	\$797	\$40	\$837
73	Dockery Auto Parts	02424074	\$758	\$38	\$796
74	Prime Mowing and Property Management LLC	02424117	\$750	\$38	\$788
75	Cherokee Rental, Inc.	35	\$722	\$37	\$758
76	Level 3 Communications LLC	02424063	\$701	\$36	\$737
77	CenturyLink-Communications-LLC	188	\$701	\$34	\$735
78	Kesco Air Inc	02424104	\$680	\$34	\$714
79	Salary.com LLC	02424057	\$631	\$32	\$663
80	Water Works C&R, LLC	02424069	\$592	\$30	\$622
81	Countrywide Sanitation Co	02424137	\$577	\$29	\$606

Exhibit 5 - Allowed General Unsecured Claims ²						Privileged & Confidential Attorney Work Product
						In re Core Scientific, Inc., et al. Case No. 22-90341 (CML), Jointly Administered
COUNT	NAME ⁴	CLAIM NUMBER	TOTAL FILED CLAIM AMOUNT	POST-PETITION INTEREST ¹	TOTAL FILED CLAIM AMOUNT PLUS POST-PETITION INTEREST ¹	
82.	Murphy & Grantland, P.A.	17	\$480	\$24	\$23	\$504
83.	Rhode Island Division of Taxation	627	\$403	\$20	\$20	\$423
84.	C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.	113	\$398	\$20	\$20	\$418
85.	Carpet Capital Multi-System Inc	02424077	\$395	\$20	\$19	\$415
86.	Bearden Industrial Supply	02424109	\$354	\$18	\$17	\$372
87.	Eagle Promotions	02424076	\$295	\$15	\$14	\$310
88.	Austin Professional Cleaning Services, LLC	02424126	\$261	\$13	\$13	\$274
89.	Waterlogic Americas LLC	02424138	\$210	\$11	\$10	\$220
90.	Commercial Plumbers Supply	02424108	\$203	\$10	\$10	\$213
91.	Carpet Capital Fire Protection Inc	02424094	\$200	\$10	\$10	\$210
92.	Mountain Top Ice	02424083	\$184	\$9	\$9	\$193
93.	Mobile Modular Portable Storage	02424114	\$135	\$7	\$7	\$142
94.	Colo Properties Atlanta LLC	02424084	\$118	\$6	\$6	\$124
95.	Pye-Barker Fire and Safety LLC	02424082	\$118	\$6	\$6	\$124
96.	Frontline Shredding Inc	614	\$100	\$5	\$5	\$105
97.	Nebraska Department of Labor	22	\$95	\$5	\$5	\$100
98.	EPB of Chattanooga	02424130	\$92	\$5	\$4	\$96
99.	Lisa Ragan Customs Brokerage	02424139	\$90	\$5	\$4	\$95
100.	IBM Office Solutions	02424115	\$78	\$4	\$4	\$82
101.	Marble Community Water System	02424136	\$63	\$3	\$3	\$66
102.	Interstate Welding and Steel Supply	02424102	\$57	\$3	\$3	\$60
103.	Alpha Waste Disposal Inc	02424129	\$56	\$3	\$3	\$59
104.	State of Tennessee Department of Revenue	02424153	\$22	\$1	\$1	\$23
105.	Financial Accounting Standards Board/Governmental Accounting Standards Board	02424120	\$9	\$0	\$0	\$9
Total			\$4,351,098	\$252,215	\$2,590,712	\$90,980,775

¹ Includes Post-Petition Interest at the Federal Judgement Rate of 4.64% 4-74% through 1/23/2024 12/31/2023

² Does not include (i) Miner Equipment Lender Deficiency Claims, which may be found on Exhibit J to the Plan or (ii) Claims related to executory contracts that the Debtors intend to assume pursuant to the Plan or otherwise settled.

³ The Allowed GUC Claims below do not include claims that the Debtors have objected to pursuant to the Debtors' First Omnibus Claims Objection To Certain (i) Amended Claims; (ii) Exact Duplicative Claims; (iii) Beneficial Bondholder Duplicative Claims; (iv) Multiple Debtor Claims; (v) Wrong Debtor Claims; (vi) Late Filed Claims; (vii) Insufficient Documentation Claims; (viii) Equity Claims; And (ix) Reclassified Claims (Based On Priority) [Docket No. 1460], as may be amended, modified, or supplemented (the "First Omnibus Claims Objection"), and only includes Surviving Claims indicated in the First Omnibus Claims Objection

³ This amount reflects the agreed amount of the Allowed General Unsecured Claim based on a settlement in principle between Foundry Digital LLC and the Debtors, and not the total filed claim amount, which is in the amount of not less than \$18,404,990.08 plus interest.

⁴ The Allowed General Unsecured Claims for each of these claims are part of the global mediated settlement (the "Mediated Settlement") between the Debtors, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors and its members, B. Riley, the Ad Hoc Noteholder Group, and the Official Committee of Equity Holders and its members. The Mediated Settlement resolved, among other issues, the applicable claim amounts for these holders and is subject to the occurrence of the Effective Date.

⁵ This amount reflects the agreed amount of the Allowed General Unsecured Claim based on a settlement in principle between Sphere 3D Corp. and the Debtors and not the total filed claim amount.

⁶ Plan to allow Pre-Petition invoices under future Rejection Damages claim.